

## DISCIPLINE OBJECTION

**To:** Chief Lisa Davis, Austin Police Department

**From:** Director Gail McCant, Austin Police Oversight

**Date:** July 7, 2026

**Subject:** **2026-01125 Discipline Objection**

Dear Chief Davis:

On April 28, 2026, Austin Police Oversight (APO) received an online complaint, Case No. 2026-01125, alleging that Austin Police Department (APD) Police Officer Daniel Jauregui (AP9632) was unprofessional and discourteous during his interaction with the complainant. The complainant requested that the complaint be processed as a Formal Complaint. On June 4, 2026, APO forwarded the complaint to the Internal Affairs Division (IAD) as a Notice of Formal Complaint (NFC).

### **Disposition by APD Chain of Command**

On June 30, 2026, APO was notified the case would be closed with a disposition of **Administratively Closed/Information** and that Officer Jauregui would receive informal discipline. IAD reviewed the following APD General Orders (GO) as part of their investigation:

- 301.2 Impartial Attitude & Discourtesy

### **APO Recommendation: Class B; Sustained; Oral Reprimand**

Upon reviewing the investigative file, I believe IAD conducted a straightforward and unbiased investigation that collected and analyzed the pertinent information surrounding the incident. Interviews were not conducted as a part of IAD's investigation.

On April 28, 2026, Officer Jauregui and other APD officers conducted a traffic stop on a vehicle for having expired license plates. During the traffic stop, it was found that the passenger had a felony warrant, and the passenger was subsequently arrested. After the arrest, Officer Jauregui went to another officer on scene and said, "Good find," while the officer was with the vehicle's driver. The driver took offense to this comment, stating, "Good find is that what you just said." Officer Jauregui stated, "Yeah, we are out here trying to find criminals...." The Complainant stated, "You are making this sound like it's a game." Officer Jauregui was observed dismissing the complainant's concerns, stating, "It's not a game, it's work," as he walked away.

APO cited APD GO 301.2 Impartial Attitude & Discourtesy as a potential policy violation on the NFC memo. Due to the nature of the allegations, the APO Complaint Supervisor recommended the case for mediation. The officer's chain of command was also made aware of the recommendation for

## DISCIPLINE OBJECTION

mediation, and Officer Jauregui agreed to mediation. However, the complainant declined to participate in mediation and requested the complaint be processed as a formal investigation. IAD's investigation revealed a potential policy violation may have occurred and recommended the complaint be investigated as a Class B. The complaint was then Administratively Closed as Information by Officer Jauregui's Chain of Command.

APO objects to the classification of Information without a finding of **Sustained**. Officer Jauregui and his chain of command agreed to participate in mediation, an option available only for complaints investigated as a Class B under APD General Order 902.6.5, Investigations Handled Through Mediation. Officer Jauregui's demeanor toward the driver did not reflect the standard expected of officers when interacting with community members. APO recommends that the complaint be classified as a Class B, that the allegation be Sustained, and that Officer Jauregui receive an **Oral Reprimand**.

In compliance with Section 2-15-5(B) of the City Code, I request that the Chief "provide a detailed public, written explanation if the Chief does not follow a recommendation of the Office of Police Oversight to impose discipline."

Sincerely,

**Gail McCant** Digitally signed by Gail McCant  
Date: 2026.07.07 10:45:13 -05'00'

Gail McCant  
Director  
Austin Police Oversight