



OFFICE OF POLICE OVERSIGHT

NOTICE OF COMPLAINT

July 22, 2022

ICMS #: 2022-0597

On July 18, 2022, the OPO received a mail complaint.

The complainant alleges: The complainant sent a 6 page handwritten complaint. The complaint is against Det. [REDACTED]. The complainant alleges that he tentatively agreed to meet Det. [REDACTED] at the station to discuss a sexual assault (for which the complainant was arrested at a later date). The complainant then gives reasons why he was unable to keep that appointment and reasons why he and [REDACTED] did not reach each other by phone. On page 4, the complainant enumerates his complaints against [REDACTED]. The complainant refers to himself as the "Affiant." The complaint in its entirety can be found in the case file. The complainant writes:

Affiant will show how the blatant bias, selective investigatory process, and racial profiling was navigated.

1. He failed to follow up in person as to know factually if the calls he made were received, by Affiant.
2. He did not investigate to conclude the occupancy of the alleged incident location was actually subleased by a family on the day of the alleged incident. [REDACTED].
3. He did not interview or question neighbors, potential witnesses, the fiance or anyone living in the immediate area, other than the accuser and complainant.
4. He failed to consider or challenge the alleged victims drug use by ordering test for all concerned.
5. He refused to return for a follow up interview with the affiant in order to discover real truth.
6. He failed to recognize the conflicting statements and blatant contradictions written and sworn to in the accuser, affiant, complainant, Affidavit as Report # [REDACTED].
7. He failed to promote justice by submitting fiction, and absolute proven lies before reasonably exhausting "ALL" remedies.



OFFICE OF POLICE OVERSIGHT

NOTICE OF COMPLAINT

8. Report # [REDACTED] states that the accuser underwent non-acute sexual exam, but failed to produce any results that would show evidence from his partial investigation.

9. His personal bias, and racist beliefs coupled with his sham of investigatory ability to rush into judgment, to prosecute without probable cause, shows that he didn't consider true justice but only the arrest of an African American. More importantly, a lawful complete and righteous investigation would have resulted in no arrest, nor unlawful confinement.

10. He failed to investigate into an APD Police missing persons report that proves affiant was found at a [REDACTED] and verified to have been there by officials from [REDACTED] until [REDACTED]. Which would have proven to the racist detective that the affiant was "NOT" in FACT on the premises of [REDACTED] on [REDACTED], the reported day of the alleged incident.

This notice of complaint is a request for Internal Affairs to initiate an investigation to determine if the employee conduct is within compliance of APD policy, Civil Service Rules, and Municipal Civil Service Rules.